The NABARAD has reported that it has sanctioned 5046 road projects in 18 States during the year 2004-05 under the Scheme of Rural Infrastructure Development Fund (RIDF).

(c) and (d) The PMGSY envisages to provide connectivity to all Unconnected Habitations with a population more than 500 persons and all Unconnected Habitations with population 250 persons and above in Tribal (Schedule V) areas in the rural areas of Tamil Nadu, through good A!! weather road, by the end of the Tenth Plan. According to reports received from the State Government, all eligible Habitations, except in Tribal (Schedule V) areas, which are presently feasible, have already been covered.

Increasing allocation under ARWSP to Karnataka

2490. SHRI JANARDHANA POOJARY: Will the Minister of RURAL DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government of Karnataka has requested for increase of allocation under the Centrally sponsored ARWSP, 2004-05 and additional funds and pending instalments under Swajaldhara programme; and

(b) if so, the details in this regard and Centre's reaction thereto?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF RURAL DEVELOPMENT (SHRI A. NARENDRA): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) The State Government had requested for increase in ARWSP allocation from Rs. 74.18 crore to Rs. 141.71 crore and additional funds of Rs. 33.54 crore under Swajaldhara. The State Government was allocated an additional amount of Rs. 2.62 crore under Swajaldhara scheme but no additional funds could be allocated under ARWSP for want of savings towards the end of the year. No proposal for release of the second instalment under Swajaldhara scheme received from the State Government is pending.

Indira Awas Yojana in Gujarat

2491. PROF. ALKA BALRAM KSHATRIYA: Will the Minister of RURAL DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) the amount spent district-wise, year-wise and number of persons benefited under the Indira Awas Yojana in Gujarat during the last two years;

(b) whether Government is aware that no sanitary toilets/bathrooms facilities are provided in the houses constructed under the scheme; and

(c) if so, the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF RURAL DEVELOPMENT (SHRI A. NARENDRA): (a) to (c) Details of district-wise, year-wise amount spent, number of persons benefited and number of sanitary toilets constructed under the Indira Awaas Yojana (IAY) for the last two years in the State of Gujarat is annexed as Statement (See below). Under the Scheme, the beneficiaries themselves construct the houses. In some cases, the beneficiaries are not constructing sanitary latrines due to lack of awareness, attitudinal factors, shortage of water etc. Instructions in this regard are being reiterated from time to time. However, in the revised IAY Guidelines, there is a provision that in case, the beneficiary is unable to construct sanitary latrine due to some reasons, an amount of Rs. 600/- would be deducted from the assistance to be provided for construction of the new IAY house or for upgradation of an unserviceable kutcha house.

Statement

District-wise central releases, number of houses constructed and number of Sanitary Latrine constructed under Indira Awaas Yojana during last two years i.e. 2003-04 *and* 2004-05

GUJARAT *(Rs. in lakhs)*

Sr. No	Name of the Districts	2003-04			2004-05		
		Central Releases	Number of Houses Constructed/ Upgraded	Numbers of Sanitary Latrine constructed	Central Releases	Number of Houses Constructed Upgraded	Numbers of Sanitary Latrine constructed
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1	Ahmedabad	100.18	782	759	155.65	760	598
2	Amreli	106.07	901	617	144.95	1022	640
3	Anand	143.39	1156	769	195.96	1217	803
4	Banaskantha	111.57	1133	1129	190.59	1320	13205
5	Bharauch	190.53	1524	1524	279.13	4485	1485
6	Bhavnagar	62.85	520	520	90.37	646	646
7	Dahod	316.24	2554	1293	450.92	3149	1280
8	Dang	33 39	281	281	37.24	281	259
9	Gandhinagar	90.36	685	482	118.86	704	704
1	Jamnagar	68.74	530	530	84.50	552	552

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
11	Junagarh	139.46	1104	987	207.63	1300	1300
12	Kachchh	104.30	1288	1259	124.70	943	943
13	Kheda	200.35	1680	1095	276.00	1689	1126
14	Mehsana	99.78	801	801	133.42	771	771
15	Nairmada	149.42	1356	1356	224.85	1502	NR
16	Navsari	126.67	1035	1035	195.92	1108	1108
17	Panchmahal	273.03	2380	1607	433.34	2610	1194
18	Patan	80.38	683	683	127.82	609	609
19	Porbandar	29.76	292	227	55.56	189	189
20	Raikot	155.17	1251	1251	212.06	1344	1344
21	Sabarkantha	274.99	2008	2008	458.01	1880	1880
22	Surat	329.98	2802	2802	452.84	3704	3704
23	Surendemagar	147.50	1154	859	195.70	1145	1145
24	Vadodara	. 243.56	1949	1949	331.61	2118	2118
25	Valsad	166.96	1340	1340	238.38	1606	1579
	TOTAL:	3744.63	31189	27163	5416.01	33654	27297

NR-Not Reporting

Implementation status of various employment generation programmes

† 2492. SHRI NAND KISHORE YADAV: Will the Minister of RURAL DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether any assessment has been made regarding status of implementation of various programmes related to employment generation in projected rural areas under Tenth Five Year Plan in Uttar Pradesh;

(b) if so, the plan-wise and district-wise details of the status including the number of the families brought above poverty line? and

(c) if not, the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF RURAL DEVELOPMENT (SHRI A. NARENDRA): (a) The Ministry of Rural Development has assessed the impact of the implementation of employment generation schemes namely the Swarnjayanti Gram Swarojgar Yojana (SGSY) and Sampoorna Gramin Rozgar Yojana (SGRY) through Concurrent Evaluation Study, conducted by the independent Research Organisations, in all the States and Union Territories of the Country, except UTs of Chandigarh and Delhi during Tenth Plan Period.

† Original notice of the question was received in Hindi.